

**CITY OF NORWALK
PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
OF THE COMMON COUNCIL
JULY 5, 2011**

ATTENDANCE: Andy Conroy, Chairman; Laurel Lindstrom; Kelly Straniti;
John Tobin; Fred Bondi; Nora King (7:12 p.m.);
Doug Hempstead (7:15 p.m.)

STAFF: Dick Linnartz, Assistant Director DPW

OTHER: Diane Ceci; Julie Burton; Diane Lauricella; Carol Gabriele;
Deborah Goldstein; Councilmember Kydes; Ben Napoleon;
Diane Witkowski

Chairman Conroy called the meeting to order at 7:07 p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT

Mr. Conroy distributed copies of an additional item to be added to the end of the agenda. He asked for a suspension of the rules to add the item, which was a law department request for a technical correction due to a name change.

**** MS. LINDSTROM MOVED TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO ADD ITEM 10a.,
APPROVE THE TECHNICAL CORRECTION OF ITEM VII D.3 OF THE
COMMON COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 14, 2011, TO THE AGENDA.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Public Input (visitors to the Committee meeting may speak to any item on the agenda. Comments shall be limited to no more than five (5) minutes per speaker.)

Ms. Diane Ceci spoke regarding agenda item 7. She said a number of people have contacted her in reference to eminent domain and East Avenue. She felt that many people might have misunderstood the discussion on the rights-of-way from the way the item was written, although it was a topic of a public hearing last fall. She asked the Committee to ensure that agenda items be as unambiguous and clear as they can be, and to be sure that the public is notified relative to anything on the East Avenue project. She said it is no secret that she is opposed to the East Avenue project. She understood that the majority of the people support this project. She reviewed previous minutes from the public hearing where rights-of-way was first identified, and it was the first time that the public heard that there was going to be the taking of two private homes. There were 19 speakers at the meeting, and 11 of them were opposed to the project. 5 people were in favor of it, and 3 people had questions or no opinion on it.

Ms. King arrived at 7:12 p.m.

She said there was only the slightest reference to the taking of property in the minutes she reviewed. Prior to that, there was only mention of minor easements. The property taking involves two private homes at 220 and 222 East Avenue. She is not in favor of the project advancing, and if it does, she doesn't feel it should advance in an engineered design that would call for the widening or the lowering of the road where private property is taken. She doesn't feel that is the intent of eminent domain. She wants to ensure that the public understands eminent domain, as well as the details of the project for the next 12-18 months.

Mr. Hempstead arrived at 7:15 p.m.

She then mentioned that from the way agenda item 7 is written, it appears that the Committee members are being asked to authorize Mayor Moccia to execute an agreement that they don't have in front of them, and which doesn't exist as of yesterday afternoon. Mr. Conroy confirmed that the document doesn't exist. She said that the authorization could be potentially approved tonight, and then passed on to Common Council for their vote next week. She felt it was a slippery slope. She urged the Committee to table the item in the absence of the document until a draft agreement can be provided to them.

Ms. Julie Burton spoke regarding the Rowayton Avenue and East Avenue projects. She understands that most projects require a great deal of money. She said that the Federal and State Governments are broke, but not the City government. She asked if there is a less costly or less obtrusive alternative to handle these projects, as she is concerned about the taking of the two properties.

Ms. Diane Lauricella spoke about Watercourse Maintenance – Meadow Street from the project update sheet. She stated for the record that her understanding is that the DEP is not going to allow this project to go forward until the Fall because of wildlife, shellfish and other concerns. Any dredging projects in Norwalk will not be allowed to go forward until then. She said that because they have some money, she wanted to ask the Committee to allow for, endorse and support a discussion of the neighbors living around that location. She said the project was done not through the normal changes which would have included public input, but instead on a fast track. She believes that the pipe that has been closed for many years by 2 Meadow Street is in need of maintenance and possible replacement. She suggested a retention basin. The watershed will drain into the pipe, without any pre-treatment, right into Village Creek, which holds a natural shellfish bed. She said the public had a very short time during the recent poor winter to write letters to the DEP. She asked the Committee to support discussing and tweaking the current project that the DEP was allowed to put through quickly.

Ms. Carol Gabriele said she agreed with Ms. Ceci and Ms. Burton that the East Avenue project should be less of an aggressive project.

Ms. Deborah Goldstein is a new resident of Norwalk and expressed the same concerns as Ms. Ceci and Ms. Burton relative to a less aggressive way of handling the East Avenue project.

She felt it could create a traffic and safety hazard, as motorists would avoid a construction area and find alternate routes on side streets, thereby making local roads dangerous.

Councilmember Kydes from District C said he feels that East Avenue is a very dangerous road. The safety of the residents who live south of the railroad bridge at the intersection of East Avenue and Winfield Street is compromised, and it is imperative that it be looked at for improvement. East Avenue is considered a main artery, and if allowed to stay in its current condition, could allow for flooding in some areas. For safety and aesthetic reasons, he suggested that underground wiring be installed, along with wider sidewalks.

Mr. Ben Napoleon spoke for his father, Michael, who lives at the property in question, 220 East Avenue. He said he first understood that the project would involve taking some of the sidewalk, but now it involves taking the entire house. He has been a taxpayer all his life, and he wondered how the City would do without his tax money if the house is taken. He didn't feel that the taking of the properties is the right thing to do. Mr. Conroy confirmed with Mr. Linnartz that they were mailed a letter, and they were at the public hearing, but Mr. Ben Napoleon said they were not made aware at that time that it would involve taking their house, just the sidewalks.

Ms. Diane Witkowski said she came to the meeting to get information and was surprised that the committee was planning on sending the plan forward with all the details. She said she felt that a committee should labor long and hard to know every detail before they take someone's property.

Ms. Ceci reiterated her points from earlier, imploring the Committee to not vote on this tonight until they get more information.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Project updates – See attached project status sheet.

The project updates were not discussed.

Norwalk transfer station - (June report may be provided at meeting).

The transfer station report was not discussed.

BUSINESS PORTION

1. Approve the Minutes of the Public Works Committee meeting of Tuesday, June 7, 2011.

**** MR. BONDI MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING OF TUESDAY, JUNE 7, 2011.**

**** MOTION PASSED WITH ONE (1) ABSTENTION (STRANITI).**

2. "On-Call Traffic Engineering Services" RBA of Connecticut, Inc.

**** MR. BONDI MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR "ON-CALL TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SERVICES" WITH RBA OF CONNECTICUT, INC. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$20,000.00.
ACCOUNT NO. 014030 5258
ACCOUNT NO. 014031 5343**

Ms. Lindstrom asked what specific work they are requested to do. Mr. Linnartz said that this is a supplement to the City's one Traffic Engineer, who is in charge of approximately \$4M worth of construction and maintaining the existing traffic signal systems. He said that item #3 is for the fiber optic communication work. He said that 8 firms submitted qualifications; they interviewed 4 of them. He explained that the scope of services is included in the Committee members' packets.

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

3. "On-Call Traffic Engineering Services" Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

**** MS. LINDSTROM MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR "ON-CALL TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SERVICES" WITH VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC. IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.00
ACCOUNT NO. 014030 5258**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

4. Tighe & Bond, Inc. West Avenue Roadway Improvements Project

**** MS. STRANITI MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES BETWEEN THE CITY OF NORWALK AND TIGHE & BOND, INC. IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WEST AVENUE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$72,500.00.
ACCOUNT NO. Norwalk Redevelopment Agency**

Mr. Linnartz explained that this is an extension of the contract with Tighe & Bond, which is providing the construction administration services of the West Avenue roadway improvement. All the money for West Avenue comes from the Redevelopment Agency's grant from DECD.

Mr. Bondi asked what the hold up is with this project. Mr. Linnartz said the mast arms were manufactured incorrectly. They were sent back to be modified, at no cost to the City, but they have still not arrived back in Norwalk. It was confirmed that all parties involved in the project contributed to the delays.

**** MR. HEMPSTEAD MOVED TO AMEND THE ITEM TO ADD THE FOLLOWING: "THE CITY OF NORWALK IS TO PURSUE ALL AVENUES OF RESTITUTION FOR INCORRECTLY MANUFACTURED MAST ARMS AND REQUIRES THE DPW TO SEEK DELAY DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF A MANUFACTURING ERROR, NECESSITATING THE RETURN OF MAST ARMS FOR RE-FABRICATION."**

**** MOTION TO APPROVE AMENDMENT PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

**** MOTION TO APPROVE AMENDED ITEM PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

5. Technical Correction

**** MR. BONDI MOVED TO APPROVE THE TECHNICAL CORRECTION OF ITEM VII.D.4. OF THE COMMON COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 14, 2011:**

A. AS AUTHORIZED ON JUNE 14, 2011:

**AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH DW TRANSPORT AND LEASING, INC., FOR PROJECT NO. 3045, TO PROVIDE TRANSPORT AND DISPOSAL OF YARD WASTE MATERIALS FOR A FY 2011-12 PRICE OF \$21.75/TON FOR BRUSH, LOGS, STUMPS AND WOODCHIPS AND \$19.60/TON FOR LEAVES; FY 2012-13 PRICE OF \$22.40/TON FOR BRUSH, LOGS, STUMPS AND WOODCHIPS AND \$20.19/TON FOR LEAVES; AND FY 2013-14 PRICE OF \$23.07/TON FOR BRUSH, LOGS, STUMPS AND WOODCHIPS AND \$20.79/TON FOR LEAVES.
ACCOUNT NO. 0140 42 5299**

B. TECHNICAL CORRECTION FOR APPROVAL:

**AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH DW TRANSPORT AND LEASING, INC., FOR PROJECT NO. 3045, TO PROVIDE TRANSPORT AND DISPOSAL OF YARD WASTE MATERIALS FOR A FY 2011-12 PRICE OF \$21.75/TON FOR BRUSH, LOGS, STUMPS AND WOODCHIPS AND \$19.60/TON FOR LEAVES; FY 2012-13 PRICE OF \$22.40/TON FOR BRUSH, LOGS, STUMPS AND WOODCHIPS AND \$20.19/TON FOR LEAVES; AND FY 2013-14 PRICE OF \$23.07/TON FOR BRUSH, LOGS, STUMPS AND WOODCHIPS AND \$20.79/TON FOR LEAVES.
THE AGREEMENT MAY BE EXTENDED FOR UP TO THREE (3) ONE-YEAR**

EXTENSION TERMS BASED ON UNIT PRICING STATED IN BID DOCUMENTS.

ACCOUNT NO. 0140 42 5299

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

6. Technical Correction

**** MS. LINDSTROM MOVED TO APPROVE THE TECHNICAL CORRECTION OF ITEM VII.D.5. OF THE COMMON COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 14, 2011:**

A. AS AUTHORIZED ON JUNE 14, 2011:

AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH DW TRANSPORT AND LEASING, INC., FOR PROJECT NO. 3046, TO PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL OF STREET SWEEPINGS/CATCH BASIN GRIT, EXCAVATED MATERIAL AND BROKEN STRUCTURAL CONCRETE FOR A FY 2011-12 PRICE OF \$31.94/TON; FY 2012-13 PRICE OF \$32.90/TON; AND FY 2013-14 PRICE OF \$33.89/TON.

ACCOUNT NO. 0140 42 5299

B. TECHNICAL CORRECTION FOR APPROVAL:

AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH DW TRANSPORT AND LEASING, INC., FOR PROJECT NO. 3046, TO PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL OF STREET SWEEPINGS/CATCH BASIN GRIT, EXCAVATED MATERIAL AND BROKEN STRUCTURAL CONCRETE FOR A FY 2011-12 PRICE OF \$31.94/TON; FY 2012-13 PRICE OF \$32.90/TON; AND FY 2013-14 PRICE OF \$33.89/TON. THE AGREEMENT MAY BE EXTENDED FOR UP TO THREE (3) ONE-YEAR EXTENSION TERMS BASED ON UNIT PRICING STATED IN BID DOCUMENTS.

ACCOUNT NO. 0140 42 5299

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

7. Right of Way Activities

**** MR. BONDI MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT AND THE CITY OF NORWALK FOR RIGHTS OF WAY ACTIVITIES IN CONJUNCTION WITH URBAN SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS RELATED TO THE RECONSTRUCTION OF EAST AVENUE, STATE PROJECT NO. 102-297, FEDERAL PROJECT NO. 1102 (102).**

Mr. Conroy explained the list of easements that was provided to the Committee members, along with a description of the easements, and the taking of two properties at 220 East Avenue, owned by Michael and Maria Napoleon, and 222 East Avenue, owned by Ann Barlow. Ms. King asked what type of communication was given to Ms. Barlow. She said that Mr. Sweeney, at the previous meeting which she taped, had indicated that all letters were sent out certified mail, which she thought was incorrect. Mr. Linnartz said that the letter was sent to Ms. Barlow's husband, as Ms. Barlow is deceased, and that Mr. Barlow met with them.

Mr. Linnartz said that Mr. Alvord asked him to expand the memorandum to the Committee since the last meeting, explaining more about the easements, property takes, slope easements, highway easements, etc. A spreadsheet was provided with this information. He said that in September of 2009, the Committee and the Common Council supported this project and passed a resolution. In December of 2010, the right of way impacts expanded, and the Department came back to the Committee. The first public information meeting was held when there was only takings of slivertakes and easements. The second meeting was scheduled as an official public hearing when the design had progressed to the point where property takings were an issue. Subsequent to that meeting, when the property impacts were presented, the residents were in attendance to speak about it and the process was explained. The Committee and the Common Council then approved the resolution in support of the project. It was clarified that the first time they approved it, with just easements, was in 2009. It was mentioned that the information, and the resolutions, have been presented in a diminuous manner.

Mr. Linnartz then continued with his explanations, saying that there are two total takes of property. They are both adjacent to the railroad tracks and are both affected by the railroad bridge and the roadway. The roadway was at the same level as the road until 1898, and then they lowered the road when they separated the trains. The properties will not have access once the road is lowered. The Napoleon's driveway can't function when the road is lowered. The State is saying that they can't allow them to back out of their driveway as they do now. The State has to take the property because they can't back out of it. The other parcel has no driveway, and the State has to take it because they can't give it a driveway. He said they are just as frustrated as the residents are with the way the project is going. He explained that the slope easements are temporary easements for driveways that go away when the project is finished. It gives the Department the right to build a sidewalk on city property and operate machinery on private property. The highway easements give them the right to build a sidewalk on private property and to open it to the general public. A permanent easement gives the public the right to use a sidewalk on private property. None of the easements affect zoning, setback, or put them in non-conformance.

Some discussion took place regarding the different easements related to the project. Mr. Linnartz confirmed the following for Mr. Tobin: "While the East Avenue project is funded 100% by State and federal funds for actual construction activities, the City of

Norwalk is responsible for all design costs and 10% of the right of way costs. The Connecticut Department of Transportation has determined the right of way costs to be \$2M, of which federal funds are \$1.6M, the state funds are \$200,000 and the city funds are \$200,000. The city's share of \$200,000 is included in the approved 2011-2012 capital budget."

It was suggested that a walk-through be done at the East Avenue project, as it was helpful to have it done for the Rowayton Avenue project. To be most effective, it was suggested that it be kept to the Commission members and be held prior to the next meeting. It was also suggested that the Law Department draft an agreement for the Committee to review, and have it prior to the next meeting. Mr. Linnartz said that it is a standard agreement from the State that cannot be modified. He said there is no money for underground wiring or antique lighting. Ms. Lindstrom asked Mr. Linnartz for the square footage numbers of each property. Mr. Conroy said he doesn't understand why the State needs to take the properties. Mr. Bondi said he doesn't agree with the State's theory that you can't back out of the driveway onto a main highway. Mr. Hempstead said for the record that he was comfortable with the design that he reviewed and voted on favorably.

The Committee members discussed more details of the project, and some of them felt that the project they approved in 2009 has changed and is now a different project.

Ms. Straniti said she is not in favor of taking the properties, and stated that she would not be voting in favor of this item tonight. Ms. Lindstrom and Ms. King agreed with her.

Mr. Conroy wanted to modify the agreement to ask the Law Department to provide them with a draft as an example, and what they are agreeing to with the State, making it the project status at that point in time. Additionally, he said he wants to schedule a walk-through of the area prior to the next meeting with maps provided, and with some neighborhood representatives, but keeping it small to ensure that the walk-through is done safely and everyone can hear the information. Lastly, he said he would like the Department Head to draft a letter that he and Mr. Conroy can jointly sign to ask the State to reconsider the taking of both properties, and that given that they probably have to take 222 East Avenue, they have to give some thought to the status of 220 East Avenue.

Some of the committee members were not in favor of the agenda item as it is written, nor were they in favor of the proposed amendment.

- ** MOTION FAILED TO PASS WITH TWO (2) VOTES IN FAVOR (BONDI, HEMPSTEAD), FOUR (4) VOTES OPPOSED (KING, LINDSTROM, STRANITI, TOBIN), ONE PERSON NOT VOTING (CONROY).**
- ** MR. CONROY MOVED TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO ADD AN ITEM TO THE AGENDA.**
- ** MOTION FAILED TO PASS.**

Mr. Conroy said a walk-through will be scheduled, and he said he was interested in finding out how they can get the State to drop the taking of the properties. He feels the State needs to push a little harder as to what the engineering standards are that are forcing them to take the properties. Mr. Linnartz felt it was presented clearly at the meeting.

8. Reconstruction of Rowayton Avenue at Metro North Railroad Bridge

**** MS. KING MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION FOR THE RECONSTRUCTION OF ROWAYTON AVENUE AT METRO-NORTH RAILROAD BRIDGE.**

RESOLUTION

RECONSTRUCTION OF ROWAYTON AVENUE AT METRO NORTH RAILROAD BRIDGE

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF NORWALK HAS CONDUCTED PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT FOR THE “RECONSTRUCTION OF ROWAYTON AVENUE AT METRO NORTH RAILROAD BRIDGE” (CONNDOT PROJECT NO. 102-340); AND

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF NORWALK, CT HAS PUBLISHED A LEGAL NOTICE DISPLAY AD, MAILED A NEWS RELEASE TO MULTIPLE OFFICIALS AND AGENCIES, AND MAILED A FORMAL LETTER TO ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNERS, ANNOUNCING THE LATEST PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETING ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT; AND

WHEREAS, THE MOST RECENT PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL HEARING WAS HELD ON APRIL 13, 2011 FROM 7:00 P.M. TO 8:30 P.M. IN CITY HALL, AT WHICH RESIDENTS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO VOICE THEIR CONCERNS; AND

WHEREAS, THE SOUTH WESTERN REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY HAS ENDORSED THIS PROJECT; AND

WHEREAS, THE NORWALK, CT COMMON COUNCIL HAS CONSIDERED THE CONCERNS OF RESIDENTS FROM THE PUBLIC INFORMATIONAL MEETINGS AND FINDS THAT THE PROPOSED PROJECT, RECONSTRUCTION OF ROWAYTON AVENUE AT METRO NORTH RAILROAD BRIDGE NO. 4132R (CONNDOT PROJECT NO. 102-340) IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITY OF NORWALK, CT AND WILL PROMOTE THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND GENERAL WELFARE OF ITS RESIDENTS AND PROVIDE FOR THE CONVENIENCE AND SAFETY OF THE MOTORING PUBLIC AND PEDESTRIAN TRAFFIC. THE CITY WILL CONTINUE TO

ADDRESS THE CONCERNS OF RESIDENTS AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES AS DESIGN DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT PROCEEDS.

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY OF NORWALK, CT BASED ON THE ABOVE INFORMATION, AND BY VIRTUE OF THIS RESOLUTION, HEREBY FULLY SUPPORTS THE PROPOSED PROJECT.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND AFFIXED THE SEAL OF THE CITY OF NORWALK, CONNECTICUT, THIS ____ DAY OF _____, 2011.

Richard A. Moccia
Mayor of the City of Norwalk, CT

Mr. Conroy said he had changed the wording of the resolution. Ms. King said she spoke to approximately 150 people since the neighborhood walk-through, and she said the only thing they still have are the concepts. She said that as of last week, they weren't aware of the sidewalks being put in. Mr. Conroy asked her to clarify which sidewalks she was referring to. She said that it was more of a walkway, and he clarified that on the opposite side of the bridge, they will be doing some additional paving work. He said the change he made to the resolution was "The City will continue to address the concerns of residents and other interested parties as design development of the project proceeds." She said she reviewed the information provided so far, but mentioned to Mr. Linnartz that they receive very conflicting data. She said in the backup data, Mr. Sweeney referred to the work being done as necessary due to the amount of accidents. She said one of the accidents was a scratch to a car door, and the other was due to icy roads, both of which she said were not due to poor visibility at the Rowayton train station. Some discussion took place regarding the importance of the resolutions and how they have been presented to the Committee in the past. Mr. Linnartz said that once the resolution is passed on to the State and the federal government, it is in place. He said it is binding but the City can always cancel the project. He said the Committee has the ultimate authority in awarding the construction project, and all of this is a prelude to advertising for bid. He said that they would have to submit \$200,000, which is already in the budget, when the agreement is signed. Mr. Conroy felt that they have to be more careful with resolutions in the future. Mr. Linnartz clarified that the language in the resolutions is written and approved by the DOT and forwarded to the State for their approval.

Ms. Lindstrom asked if they have finished the work on the actual bridge. Mr. Linnartz said they have finished the superstructure and are still working on the abutments. Two new sidewalks will be going in. The road will not be any wider than before the construction.

Ms. King said that she confirmed with her source that the project should be done by the end of August, and she suggested that they wait and see what the project looks like. She said there was a good deal of misleading information from the public hearing. Some discussion took place regarding safety issues and the impact of the project. She said that it would be hard for

the Police Department to enforce simple traffic violations when they have more important jobs to do, and Mr. Conroy said that she was making rhetoric statements. He said that people do stop at the stop signs, but Ms. King disagreed with him because she said she used to live there and saw the violations firsthand. She said there should be a record on file at the Police Department of a request for speed bump installations because of the speeding traffic. Mr. Conroy asked where the traffic was coming from. Ms. King said the traffic comes off of I-95 northbound by motorists using the area as a cut-through. More discussion took place regarding the details of the project.

- ** MR. HEMPSTEAD MOVED TO AMEND THE ITEM TO ADD THE FOLLOWING AT THE END OF THE RESOLUTION: “WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT FURTHER REVIEW OF THE PROJECT IS REQUIRED, AND ONCE THE DRAWINGS ARE AT 50% OF DESIGN, THE COMMON COUNCIL WILL REVIEW AND APPROVE.”**
- ** MOTION TO APPROVE AMENDMENT PASSED WITH FIVE (5) VOTES IN FAVOR (BONDI, CONROY, HEMPSTEAD, STRANITI, TOBIN) AND TWO (2) ABSTENTIONS (KING, LINDSTROM).**
- ** MOTION TO APPROVE AMENDED ITEM PASSED WITH FOUR (4) VOTES IN FAVOR (BONDI, CONROY, HEMPSTEAD, TOBIN) AND THREE (3) VOTES ABSTAINED (KING, LINDSTROM, STRANITI).**

9. Technical Correction

- ** MR. BONDI MOVED TO APPROVE THE TECHNICAL CORRECTION OF ITEM VII.D.1. OF THE COMMON COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 14, 2011:**

A. AS AUTHORIZED ON JUNE 14, 2011:

AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH ALMSTEAD TREE AND SHRUB CARE, LLC, FOR THE SUPPLY AND PLANTING OF TREES AND SHRUBS FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$60,000 PER YEAR.

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 08 4021 5777 C0233
 09 12 4021 5777 C0233
 09 09 6030 5777 C0370
 09 12 6030 5777 C0370**

B. TECHNICAL CORRECTION FOR APPROVAL:

AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH ALMSTEAD TREE AND SHRUB CARE, LLC, FOR THE SUPPLY AND PLANTING OF TREES AND SHRUBS FOR AN AMOUNT NOT

TO EXCEED \$60,000 PER YEAR. THE AGREEMENT TO HAVE A BASE PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS WITH TWO (2) ONE-YEAR OPTION PERIODS.

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 08 4021 5777 C0233
09 12 4021 5777 C0233
09 09 6030 5777 C0370
09 12 6030 5777 C0370**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

10. Technical Correction

**** MS. KING MOVED TO APPROVE THE TECHNICAL CORRECTION OF ITEM VII.D.3. OF THE COMMON COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 14, 2011:**

A. AS AUTHORIZED JUNE 14, 2011:

AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH A&J CONSTRUCTION FOR PROJECT NO. PM 2011-1 PROPOSED PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE SUM NOT TO EXCEED \$2,000,000.00.

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 11 4021 5777 C0021
09 12 4021 5777 C0021
030000-2602
09 11 4021 5777 C0318
09 12 4027 5777 C0302**

B. TECHNICAL CORRECTION FOR APPROVAL:

AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, RICHARD A. MOCCIA, TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH ALCAIDE, INC. FOR PROJECT NO. PM 2011-1 PROPOSED PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE SUM NOT TO EXCEED \$2,000,000.00.

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 11 4021 5777 C0021
09 12 4021 5777 C0021
030000-2602
09 11 4021 5777 C0318
09 12 4027 5777 C0302**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

NEXT PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING:

Tuesday, August 2, 2011 7:00 p.m.
Room 101, Norwalk City Hall

ADJOURNMENT

**** MR. HEMPSTEAD MOVED TO ADJOURN.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The meeting was adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carolyn Marr
Telesco Secretarial Services